

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             |   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/053,264                                  |   | 01/23/2002  | David Henry Levy     | 8694                    |                  |
| 26161                                       | 7590                                    | 03/20/2006  |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
| FISH & RI                                   | CHARD                                   | SON PC      | ORTIZ, BELIX M       |                         |                  |
| P.O. BOX 1022<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 |   |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
| 17111 17 127 21 0                           | , |             | •                    | 2164                    |                  |
|   |   |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.    | Applicant(s)      |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Andrew Saver Community  | 10/053,264         | LEVY, DAVID HENRY |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interview Summary   | Examiner           | Art Unit          |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Belix M. Ortiz     | 2164              |  |  |  |  |  |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Belix M. Ortiz.   | (3) Timothy Brian. |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) <u>James Babineau</u> .   | (4)                |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date of Interview: 27 February 2006.  |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]  |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:  |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) discussed: 3,8 and 28.   |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Padwick et al. and Gough et al. (6,360,221).   |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.  |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |                    |                   |  |  |  |  |  |

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Blue M. Organi Examiner's signature, if required Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed reference against application. The attorney explain why the references Padwick and Gough does not teach a placeholder on the electronic email. With this method the user do not have to update the hold document and just the part of the form the user is interested, the attorney clarified that the placeholders are characters that the program is going to recognize like unknown and the program will open another window with the form parts. No specific agreements reached..